

UNITED STATES Ď⊵PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	08/653,	425 05/2	4/96	MURESAN	-	D	
Γ	DAVID MURESAN 18204 30TH AVE NE			LM51/1222 7		Ð	(AMINER
						KIM,	J
		WA 98155				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
						2774	7
						DATE MAILED:	12/22/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Office Action Summary

08/653,425

Juliana Kim

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

2774

MURESAN ET AL



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jur</u>	n 2, 1997						
X This action is FINAL .							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
is longer, from the mailing date of this commun	is action is set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever ilication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of						
Disposition of Claims							
X Claim(s) 1	is/are pending in the application.						
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.						
X Claim(s) 1	is/are rejected.						
	is/are objected to.						
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's	s Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.						
The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed or	is approved disapproved.						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CER	RTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been						
received.							
received in Application No. (Series	Code/Serial Number)						
	ication from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*Certified copies not received:							
	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
Attachment(s)							
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892							
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO)-1449, Paper No(s)						
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	Davieur PTO 049						
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing							
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PT .	U-192						
SFF OFFI	ICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES						

Serial Number: 08/653,425 Page 2

Art Unit: 2774

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toyoda et al.

Toyoda et al discloses an input device comprising a magnet (49) which attracts a rubber magnetic core ball (8) against the X and Y coordinate shafts (20X and 20Y). Note column 11, lines 13-20. Toyoda et al, however, does not mention that the input device is a mouse. But it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the feature of using magnet and magnetic core ball in a mouse because Toyoda et al admits that it is conventional for a mouse

Serial Number: 08/653,425 Page 3

Art Unit: 2774

to employ a rotatably supported ball (which can be the magnetic core ball as above). Note column 1, lines 19-22.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 6/2/97 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states that the prior art does not teach the present invention which has just two contacts as it is seen in Figure 2, but it's not recited in the claim that there are only two contacts.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Solhjell is made of record as disclosing a mouse operated by using magnetic field.
- 5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Art Unit: 2774

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

Or:

(703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Juliana Kim whose telephone number is (703) 305-4962. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

JK J. Kim

December 16, 1997

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 2700